

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHARISSE SAMANTHA MOORE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE BRITISH MONARCHY, et al.,

Defendants.

24-CV-7178 (LTS)

ORDER DIRECTING PAYMENT OF FEE
OR IFP APPLICATION

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff Charisse Samantha Moore brings this action *pro se*. To proceed with a civil action in this Court, a plaintiff must either pay \$405.00 in fees – a \$350.00 filing fee plus a \$55.00 administrative fee – or, to request authorization to proceed without prepayment of fees, submit a signed IFP application. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915.

Plaintiff submitted the complaint without the fees or an IFP application. Within thirty days of the date of this order, Plaintiff must either pay the \$405.00 in fees or submit the attached IFP application. If Plaintiff submits the IFP application, it should be labeled with docket number 24-CV-7178 (LTS). If the Court grants the IFP application, Plaintiff will be permitted to proceed without prepayment of fees. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1).

Plaintiff also listed two individuals as plaintiffs in this action: (1) John Collins, who is employed at Stripe, Inc.; and (2) Marc Andreessen, who is a partner of Andreessen Horowitz. Collins and Andreessen did not sign the complaint. Accordingly, the Court dismisses these two individuals from this action and directs the Clerk of Court to terminate them from this matter.

CONCLUSION

No summons shall issue at this time. If Plaintiff complies with this order, the case shall be processed in accordance with the procedures of the Clerk's Office. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order within the time allowed, the action will be dismissed.

The Court dismisses Plaintiffs Collins and Andreessen from this action and directs the Clerk of Court to terminate these two individuals from this matter.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444–45 (1962) (holding that appellant demonstrates good faith when seeking review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2024
New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge